IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA WHEELING

BRYAN RHODES,

Plaintiff.

٧.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:19-CV-335 (BAILEY)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before this Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge James P. Mazzone [Doc. 10]. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Mazzone for submission of a proposed report and recommendation ("R&R"). Magistrate Judge Mazzone filed his R&R on April 16, 2020, wherein he recommends that plaintiff's Complaint under the Federal Tort Claims Act [Doc. 1] be dismissed without prejudice for failure to exhaust administrative remedies and that plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time to Serve Complaint [Doc. 9] be denied as moot.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo*

review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Snyder v.

Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91,

94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Mazzone's R&R were due within

fourteen (14) days of service, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).

Service of the R&R was accepted on April 21, 2020. Accordingly, plaintiff had until May

8, 2020, to file objections to the R&R. To date, no objections have been filed. Accordingly,

this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the above, it is the opinion of this Court that the Report and

Recommendation [Doc. 10] should be, and is, hereby ORDERED ADOPTED for the

reasons more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report. Accordingly, plaintiff's

Complaint [Doc. 1] is hereby DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. Further, plaintiff's

Motion for Extension of Time to Serve Complaint [Doc. 9] is hereby DENIED AS MOOT.

The Court further ORDERS that this matter be STRICKEN from the active docket of this

Court and **DIRECTS** the Clerk to enter judgment in favor of the defendant.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to transmit copies of this Order to any counsel of record herein

2

and to mail a copy to the pro se plaintiff.

DATED: May 13, 2020.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE